

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,019	10/28/2003	Kenichi Takahara	117268	3712	
25944 75	90 11/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			VU, PHU		
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	~		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	·		2871		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/694,019	TAKAHARA, KEN	TAKAHARA, KENICHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	(A)/		
·	Phu Vu	2871	600		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this co DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.		•		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims			••		
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,11-19,21 and 22</u> is/are pending	in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,11-19,21 and 22</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election re	quirement.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		the Examiner.	<b>→</b> *,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		olication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National	Stage		
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
	•				
Attachment(s)	" <b>m</b>				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/694,019

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- IA. An electro-optical device comprising a light shielding layer between the data lines and the pixel electrodes (Suggested claims 1, 3-8, 12, and 21)
- IB. An electro-optical device with transparent conductive shield between the pixel electrodes and the data lines (Suggested claim 9)

Applicant's specification provided discloses that these two are distinct (see [0045] of US Publication No 20050029521).

- IIA. Electro-optical device with horizontal/vertical protrusions protruding from the main body (Suggested claims 11, 14-15 see figs. 5-8)
- IIB. Electro-optical device with surrounding portions at least partially surrounding the semiconductor layer from the main line portions at positions which are separated from the channel regions by a predetermined distance in a second direction in plan view (Suggested claims 13, and 22 see figs. 9-12)
- IIC. Electro-optical device with inside-groove portions which are provided inside grooves in which are etched in the substrate and cover at least a part of the channel region from the sides (Suggested claims 16-17 see figs. 13-16)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species between IA and IB and between IIA, IIB, and IIC for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, none are generic however claims 18-19 will be examined regardless of the species elected.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/694,019

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER